# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
## APPLICATION FOR UNITED STATES PATENT

### FOR

**Prepared By**

# HUNTON&
# WILLIAMS

| | |
|---|---|
| INVENTORS: | Mark Justin Moore |
| TITLE: | **OPERATING SYSTEM FOR EXECUTING COMPUTER SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS** |
| DATE FILED: | August 18, 2003 |
| GV NO. | GV 216 |
| MATTER NO. | 000416 |
| PATENT COUNSEL: | David Rosenblatt, Esq. |
| ATTORNEYS: | Robin C. Clark (x7554) |
| | HUNTON & WILLIAMS |
| | 1751 Pinnacle Drive |
| | Suite 1700 |
| | McLean, VA 22102 |
| | Phone: (703) 714-7400 |
| | Facsimile: (703) 714-7410 |

Claim of Priority: ☒ Yes ☐ No
Assignment Executed: ☐ Yes ☒ No
Foreign Filing License: ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
IDS Prepared: ☐ Yes ☒ No
Comments:

## OPERATING SYSTEM FOR EXECUTING COMPUTER SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS

### Cross-Reference to Related Applications

The present application claims priority to co-pending United States Provisional Patent Application Number 60/403,660, filed August 16, 2002, the entirety of which is incorporated by reference herein.

### Background of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to the field of computer systems and, more particularly, to systems for scheduling process execution to provide optimal performance of the computer system.

The operation of modern computer systems is typically governed by an operating

5    system (OS) software program which essentially acts as an interface between the system resources and hardware and the various applications which make requirements of these resources. Easily recognizable examples of such programs include Microsoft WindowsTM, UNIX, DOS, VxWorks, and Linux, although numerous additional operating systems have been developed for meeting the specific demands and

10    requirements of various products and devices. In general, operating systems perform the basic tasks which enable software applications to utilize hardware or software resources, such as managing I/O devices, keeping track of files and directories in system memory, and managing the resources which must be shared between the various applications running on the system. Operating systems also generally attempt to ensure that different

applications running at the same time do not interfere with each other and that the system

is secure from unauthorized use.

Depending upon the requirements of the system in which they are installed,

operating systems can take several forms. For example, a multi-user operating system

5    allows two or more users to run programs at the same time. A multiprocessing operating

systems supports running a single application across multiple hardware processors

(CPUs). A multitasking operating system enables more than one application to run

concurrently on the operating system without interference. A multithreading operating

system enables different parts of a single application to run concurrently. Real time

10   operating systems (RTOS) execute tasks in a predictable, deterministic period of time.

Most modern operating systems attempt to fulfill several of these roles simultaneously,

with varying degrees of success.

Unfortunately, the ability of known operating systems to efficiently handle

resource allocation and application/hardware performance on embedded systems remains

15   deficient to the requirements of modern systems, particularly embedded systems.

Accordingly, there is a need in the art of computer systems for an operating system which

enables such efficient and accurate handling of requirements in an embedded system.

Further, there is a need for an operating system which can work together with

conventional operating systems, thereby enabling conventional systems to work with

20   hardware/software for which it was not specifically designed.

**Summary of the Invention**

- 2 -

This present invention overcomes the above-described limitations and deficiencies by providing a operating system environment including the following elements: 1.) system service abstraction API (application programming interface); 2.) legacy service API to support existing OS code; 3.) native (i.e., new) OS implementation;

5    4.) hosted 3rd party OS implementation; 5.) structural abstraction to allow broad application together with excellent performance (interfaces); 6.) a simplified object-oriented system design and properties to provide consistent end user API and minimized implementation work for new hosted $3^{rd}$ party platforms; 7.) unique interrupt handling architecture; 8.) architectural support for hardware acceleration; and 9.) support

10   for multiprocessor / multi-ASIC designs.

In one embodiment of the present invention, a method for managing shared resources in a computer system is provided comprising establishing and registering a plurality of objects in response to requests from hardware or software associated with the computer system. The objects include at least one type, at least one attribute, and a

15   handle. The plurality of objects are then manipulated to effect processing and exchange of information.

**Brief Description Of The Drawings**

The present invention can be understood more completely by reading the following Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments, in conjunction with the

20   accompanying drawings.

FIG. 1 is a generalized block diagram illustrating two configurations for the operating system of the present invention.

FIG. 2 is a block diagram illustrating one embodiment of an internal structure for a hosted operating system.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram illustrating one embodiment of an internal structure for a native operating system.

5    FIG. 4 is a flow diagram illustrating one example of a uni-directional message exchange in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. 5 is a flow diagram illustrating one example of a send-reply messaging exchange in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. 6 is a block diagram illustrating one embodiment of a memory structure.

10    FIG. 7 is a flow diagram illustrating one example of an interrupt handler message exchange in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. 8 is a block diagram illustrating one embodiment of relationships between object structures.

FIG. 9 is a block diagram illustrating one embodiment of object structure

15  implementation.

FIG. 10 is a block diagram illustrating another embodiment of relationships between object structures.

FIG. 11 is a block flow diagram illustrating derived and parent object attribute access structure in accordance with the present invention.

20    FIG. 12 is a block flow diagram illustrating one embodiment of an object structure in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. 13 illustrates a interface mapping structure of the present invention.

FIG. 14 is a block flow diagram illustrating multiple queuing states.

FIG. 15 is a flow diagram illustrating one embodiment of a network data flow.

FIG. 16 is a block diagram illustrating a data transport system having three linked warp modules.

5    FIG. 17 is a block diagram illustrating one manner of linking warp modules in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. 18 is a block flow diagram illustrating one embodiment of a warp tree structure corresponding to the warp module embodiment of FIG. 17.

FIG. 19 is a diagram illustrating one embodiment of a call sequence for three

10   linked warp modules.

FIG. 20 is a diagram illustrating a second embodiment of a call sequence for three linked warp modules.

FIG. 21 block diagram illustrating one embodiment of a task/subtask priority structure of the present invention.

15   **Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments**

The inventive operating system (hereinafter referred to as the Quantum™ operating system or simply, Quantum™) provides a new execution environment for applications and driver software. It targets the embedded software space, where issues such as performance, real-time response, software reliability, and hardware flexibility are

20   dominant. Quantum™ aims to provide all client embedded applications with the following:

1. Pre-emptive multitasking with sophisticated application quality of service (QoS);

2. Support for protected and virtual memory on platforms with a hardware MMU;

3. Support for multi-processor hardware configurations;

5      4. Inherent application portability to third party OS platforms;

5. Inherent application portability to new hardware architecture;

6. Increased performance over comparable OS platforms such as ATMOS$^{TM}$ from GlobespanVirata Incorporated;

7. A single, simple programming model for all software; and

10      8. Support for all existing ATMOS$^{TM}$ applications.

More particularly, the Quantum™ operating system aims to provide these features

by means of a new OS Kernel, hereinafter referred to as ATMOS2. The APIs have also

been concurrently specified to map to other OS platforms, allowing easy support of

Quantum™ compliant applications and drivers on other third party OS software regimes.

15      Referring now to FIG. 1, there is shown a generalized block diagram illustrating

two potential Quantum™ configurations: a native configuration 100 and a hosted

configuration 102. The various public APIs 104 (application program interfaces) for

Quantum™ have been carefully designed to allow extremely efficient implementations

on target hardware platforms, while also providing a relatively simple mapping to a

20      typical third party OS platform 106. The underlying design is also intended to take

advantage of hardware accelerators to improve message passing and general task

scheduling behavior. On dual-processor class ASICs (application-specific integrated

circuits), such as the Helium$^{TM}$ series devices from GlobespanVirata Incorporated of

Redbank, NJ, this acceleration can be provided for the first or protocol processor (PP) by

25      means of a software assist running in parallel on the second or network processor (NP).

On future ASICs, additional hardware support may be added to improve the efficiency

and performance of the task scheduling system. For this reason, the "native"

implementation is usually not shown as a distinct entity from Quantum™ itself, since

functions may be implemented by hardware directly or by a few inline assembler

instructions.

5        A public API in Quantum™ is any programmatic interface that may used by

software, either application or driver-specific code, outside of Quantum™ itself. There

are currently two public API sets: Quantum™ AS (application services) and Quantum BS

(board support). The Quantum™ AS software layer is the main application service API

set. It comprises a number of loosely connected function libraries that may be called

10    from C or C++ application code. In one embodiment, the following functionality is

provided:

        1. Platform abstraction (word size and endian support);
        2. Memory management;
        3. Task support;
15      4. Inter-task messaging and network data buffering;
        5. Inter-task synchronization via semaphores and mutexes;
        6. Hardware interrupt service support; and
        7. General utilities (block memory handling, strings, etc)

20    Additionally, alternative or enhanced embodiments may also incorporate the following

functionality:

        1. Logging and console support APIs;
        2. High performance driver support (Quantum™ Warp Engine);
        3. Generic attribute and application management; and
25      4. Multi-processing implementations

**Quantum™ BS: Board Support**

The Quantum™ BS software layer is the board support API. Unlike the AS API's, this defines functions that are used to implement board specific support code. Such functions are currently limited to defining interrupt logical routing and providing interrupt stub handlers for platforms where these are not directly provided by the

5     Quantum™ software itself. The BS API currently defines only one function type:

```
bs_PackageInitialise()
```

This function is normally provided by the board designer. For example, ASIC (application specific integrated circuit) specific support packages are implemented

10    internally within Quantum™ and are "hidden" from an actual user of a Quantum™ release. The initialization function is called at start of day after Quantum™'s internal system initialization is complete but before any application tasks or drivers have been started. Its sole purpose is to define the interrupt mappings that will be used on the board (e.g., mapping the 'dying-gasp' interrupt from a physical chip to a specific GPIO (general

15    purpose Input/Output) interrupt line with a specific level sense condition).

**Quantum™ Internal APIs**

The public API set provided by Quantum™ is backed by a number of internal APIs with a variety of implementations that may depend on the target hardware or on the underlying third party OS (if any). The following internal API sets currently exist:

20          1. Quantum™ XS - Platform neutral functions
                2. Quantum™ HS - Host Support functions
                3. Quantum™ CS - Compiler Support functions
                4. Quantum™ LS - Legacy Support functions

This partitioning is not always absolute. The diverse range of supported platforms often mean that functions intended for one module area "leak" into another (e.g., CPU specific speed up code in Quantum™ XS, or compiler or tool support code in Quantum™ HS). Accordingly, such a shared or leaked structure is envisioned and supported by the system

5    of the present invention. However, where possible the software tries to use the indicated structural organization to maximize code reuse between platforms.

The legacy support module (LS) implements functions historically provided by the ATMOS Kernel. Its purpose is to allow original ATMOS application code to continue running. LS is not supported on hosted OS targets, since the APIs provided by

10   the original ATMOS design are extremely difficult to implement effectively under some key target OS's. Referring now to FIG. 2, there is shown a block diagram illustrating one embodiment of an internal structure for a hosted Quantum™ target, including the relative structure of the Host Operating System (OS) 200, the Quantum AS 202, the Quantum HS and XS layers 204 and 206, and the Quantum CS layer 208.

15   Referring now to FIG. 3, there is illustrated a diagram corresponding to a native target implementation (i.e., no Host OS) where the HS layer is directly implemented on the target hardware. A legacy support layer, Quantum LS (300) is further provided in this embodiment. By layering the LS structure 300 directly on top of the HS module 204, the original ATMOS functions can be implemented extremely efficiently. In fact, the

20   performance of the LS implementations of ATMOS's original messaging APIs is significantly better than that of the original ATMOS Kernel (in some cases, as much as 5 to 10 times faster).

**Quantum™ XS**

Quantum™ XS is intended to be a generic portable software layer that simplifies

the implementation of the platform specific portions of Quantum™. Its major functions

include:

5
    1. Debug - generic and extensible system debug framework;
    2. UART - low level UART access functions for use in system startup;
    3. Object - the system object manager; and
    4. Startup - the system startup manager.

10
    The debug functions implement low level diagnostic code for use in non-release

builds. These include `assert()` like traps and a simple console based debug task with an

extensible command parser. The debug console is entered by explicit request from within

the Quantum™ software, and is typically used to allow diagnosis of fatal exceptions such

as unexpected memory aborts. This is a low level tool that allows inspection and

15
modification of system objects and memory, however, it is not a symbolic source

debugger.

    The UART functions provide a low-level access to a console UART (universal

asynchronous receiver transmitter), together with simple Kernel `printf()` functionality.

This may be used by engineers working on Quantum™ to help diagnose internal

20
problems in Quantum™ itself. It should be noted that kernel level UART and `printf()`

handling are *not* available to application code. Rather, these functions (and all other

internal functions) may only be used from within the operating system itself.

    The object support library provides a generalized management tool for arbitrary

objects that may need to be implemented by a host specific platform, such as memory,

sempahores, tasks, etc. The object API's implement a filing system like hierarchical database that tracks usage of objects and which provides a generic mechanism to provide access to object properties by means of an attribute mechanism. The object system is a superset of that originally provided by the BUN (broadband unified network) driver

5    framework, and provides support for inheritance and aggregation of types. Unlike BUN, the system is dynamic, permitting objects to be created and destroyed. The system also provides for arbitrary run-time extension of the data types and run-time type safety for clients of the object system. The startup function is a simple module that handles initialization of Quantum™, ensuring that the various library modules are initialized in

10   the correct sequence, and starting any statically defined application tasks.

**Quantum™ HS**

Quantum™ HS implements the host specific portion of the software, either by mapping API calls to a third party OS or by directly interacting with the CPU or other system hardware for native implementations. Facilities provided by the HS layer include

15   tasks (the execution unit in Quantum™), messaging, semaphores, mutexes and memory management. The HS layer may also override some functions in other portable software layers in order to provide specific performance gains (e.g.; for memcpy()). HS software typically makes extensive use of the XS object library to implement basic functions such as opening, closing, creating and deleting system objects such as tasks or semaphores.

20   **Quantum™ CS**

Quantum™ CS provides compiler support functions. C or C++ compiler tools often require a small support library to implement "internal" functions such as copying of

data structures (for assignment), basic math operations (such as integer division on CPUs with no divide machine instruction) or C++ features (such as the new and delete operators, RTTI, exception handling, etc). Currently, Quantum™ simply uses the compiler support code from ATMOS. This is specifically targeted at the GNU tool chain.

5    **Quantum™ LS**

Quantum™ LS implements legacy APIs necessary to maintain existing ATMOS software. LS is only supported on native platforms.

**Quantum™ Application Architecture**

The Quantum™ system service APIs define the basic structure of an application,

10    setting down the way application code interacts with hardware and software in a complete system. The basic unit of execution in Quantum™ is the task. Quantum™ makes no distinction between the traditional concepts of a "process" and a "thread" and instead uses a single object type to implement both facilities. This reduces the number of APIs needed, reduces the porting effort to new platforms, and allowing focused

15    optimization of the task handling code for best performance. A task typically executes a message loop, receiving requests from other tasks or hardware and performing some processing in response. The following code structure represents one embodiment of a messaging scenario.

```
tASErr main(int argc, const char* argv[])
{
        // Indefinite loop to process messages.
        for (;;)
        {
                // Wait for a message to be sent here
                tASMessage msg = as_MessageWaitPrimary();
                // Decode the message and handle it
```

20

25

```
switch (as_MessageCode(msg))
{
        case abc:
        ...
        case xyz:
        ...
}
}
// Break out of the loop to exit.
return kASEOK;
}
```

5

10

## Object Naming Conventions: the System Name Space

Quantum™ employs a back-end object database that implements access to all

15   system objects - tasks, shared, memory, semaphores, etc. Most Quantum™ APIs there

tend to have a similar look and feel. The API set for most system objects is of form:

- *new* - create a new instance of an object;
- *delete* - remove an existing instance of an object;
- *open* - obtain a handle to an existing object;
20  - *close* - desist from using a given object; and
- *Object specific API calls*

All objects are specified by name within a global name space. Object names are

structured to look not unlike a traditional UNIX file system tree and will be discussed in

additional detail below. The following top-level structure is used:

25  - /task          tasks and objects created by tasks
- /memory       global shared memory
- /pool         global message pools
- /interface    global interfaces
- /interrupt    hardware interrupt sources

30      Objects may possess many different forms. For example, a message pool

provides a specific pool handle as well as an interface that can be used to provide

message functions. Hence the following API calls:

```
tASPool pool;
tASInterface interface;

as_PoolOpen(&pool, "/pool/generic");
as_InterfaceOpen(&interface, "/pool/generic");
```

5

both refer to the same object - the generic message pool. However, the two calls return

different *representations* of the same object - the first a pool handle which can be used to

perform pool specific operations, the second an interface that can be used to allocate or

10 release messages. This genericity means that many of the APIs you might normally

expect to find in an system service software layer appear not to be present in Quantum™.

Message pools, inter-task message exchange and interrupt handling are all performed

using the *interface* object type exported by many of the system objects.

Objects may be accessed by both a type specific API and a generic attribute

15 mechanism. Attributes are typically used when creating a new object of a specified type.

The following example code will create a new globally accessible pool object:

```
tASPool pool;

as_PoolNew(&pool, "/pool/magic", "size=1024/count=32/prefix=64");
```

The pool object will accept attributes both specific to the pool itself, but also for any

20 other representations that it might possess. Hence a pool object also provides all of the

attributes of an interface object. Objects may also be created using a relative path name.

**Tasks, Messages and Interfaces**

A *task* is a single thread of execution, with all tasks being scheduled

independently by the operating system. Further, in order to protect such execution

25 threads, tasks may utilize protected memory within the computing system. A *message* is

a block of information that can be passed to other tasks by means of an *interface*.

Messages can carry network datagrams, control information, or requests to perform some

action. Message content is defined by the application code, not Quantum™. The basic

functions common to all interfaces are:

5        1. put - send a message to an interface;
         2. get - non-blocking fetch a message from an interface; and
         3. wait - blocking wait for a message to arrive at an interface.

All interfaces support these basic operations. The AS APIs provide syntactic sugar to

10   provide additional APIs with semantics for message replies and synchronous send-wait

calls. However, all such operations are based on these three primitive functions.

Interfaces may also represent other tasks in the system, such as "pools" of free

messages that can be allocated, or "interrupt" objects that implement traditional interrupt

processing under Quantum™. While all interfaces respond to the same API semantics,

15   the manner in which they are used by applications is a matter of convention, largely

driven by the naming of the interface.

Referring to FIG. 4, there is shown an example of a uni-directional message

exchange between two tasks under Quantum™. Initially, task alpha 400 requests a

message from the free pool of messages 402 through their associated interfaces 404 and

20   406, respectfully. Task alpha 400 then sends the message to task beta 408 through its

interface 410. Task beta then returns the message to the free pool 402. As shown, no

distinction is visible to the tasks between the three interfaces, other than their objects

names and the way that they are used. The basic operations used to exchange the

messages are independent of the type of interface that the message is being exchanged with.

Referring now to FIG. 5 , there is illustrated a flow diagram showing a more complete send-reply messaging sequence. Initially, task alpha 500 requests a message

5   from the free pool of messages 502 through their associated interfaces 504 and 506, respectfully. Task alpha 500 then sends the message to task beta 508 through its interface 510. Task beta 508 replies to task alpha using the message. Task alpha 500 then returns the message to the free pool 502.

Quantum™'s message model brings a simplification of the programming APIs,

10  performance gains and more efficient support for protected or virtual memory. All Quantum™ messages must be allocated from a dedicated message pool; messages cannot be simply created as a structure on the local application stack. These messages contain a type code that defines the purpose of the message and its content. Type codes are assigned by the applications, not by Quantum™. Messages may be uni-directional, or

15  use a send-reply sequence as described above. Uni-directional messaging is inherently more efficient, but may only be used where no explicit acknowledgement of the message is required by the originator.

**Memory Space Issues**

In accordance with the present invention, Quantum™ supports protected and

20  virtual memory configurations. This places constraints on the access that may be made to memory between tasks. In particular, each top-level task is provided with its own private memory resource. Any sub-tasks created inherit access to the parent's memory space, as

- 16 -

well as any handles owned by the parent for system objects, such as semaphores or mutexes.

The diagram of FIG. 6 illustrates one example memory structure of the present invention. More particularly, it can be seen that the memory is accessible to a typical set

5 of tasks running under Quantum™: Memory allocated by any task is accessible by it and any subtasks that it may choose to create - but not from other tasks in the system. Therefore, in the example of FIG. 6, *alpha's* memory 600 is entirely private to *alpha,* memory 602 allocated by *beta* can be seen by *beta* and any subtasks, and memory 604 or 606 allocated by *beta1* or *beta 2* is local to the subtask and can not be directly accessed

10 by either *alpha* nor *beta* directly. The general rule is that allocated memory is only accessible to that task and any subtasks that have been created, not vice-versa.

Explicit blocks of shared memory can also be created and exported using the AS memory APIs. Shared memory regions are identified by name under the "/memory" area of system file space. The AS API's contain functions to map such regions to an address

15 that may be directly addressed by a task. As with locally allocated memory, any shared memory that is accessible by a task is also accessible by its subtasks.

**Interrupts**

As stated above, Quantum™ does not permit the use of "traditional" interrupt handlers, as used by systems such as ATMOS (other than in the LS emulation layer). In

20 fact, there are no application APIs that would appear to provide any support at all for hardware interrupts. Rather, interrupt service requests are handled using the above-described messaging system, coupled with specialized "interrupt" message interfaces. In

this manner, sending a message to an interrupt interface enables the interrupt source.

When the interrupt fires, the interrupt is disabled and the message returned to the

originator as a reply.

Referring now to FIG. 7, there is shown a flow diagram illustrating one

5    embodiment of an interrupt handler task's interaction with an interrupt object. Initially,

task phyhandler 700 requests a message from the free pool of messages 702 through their

associated interfaces 704 and 706, respectfully. Task phyhandler 700 then sends the

message to arm an interrupt enable at an interrupt object phy 708 through the interrupt's

interface 710. The interrupt object phy 708 then disables the interrupt and replies to

10   task phyhandler 700 using the message.

Interrupt objects are very simple. They know nothing about the format or content

of the messages exchanged with them. The simple presence or absence of a message is

sufficient to handle the interrupt enable and disable, and also to signal when an interrupt

has triggered. The following code example illustrates the implementation of an interrupt

15   handler task:

```
     tASErr main(int argc, const char* argv[])
     {
         tASInterface interrupt;
         tASInterface pool;
20       tASMessage msg;
         tASErr err;

         // Find our interrupt source's interface.
         err = as_InterfaceOpen(&interrupt, "/interrupt/phy");
25       if (kASEOK != err) return err;

         // Find a pool and allocate a message from it.
         err = as_InterfaceOpen(&pool, "/pool/generic");
         if (kASEOK != err) return err;
30       msg = as_InterfaceWait(pool);
```

```
for (;;)
{
    // Enable the interrupt source and wait for it to
    // fire. This simple example assumes that the only
    // message delivered to this task is the interrupt
    // message itself.

    as_MessageSendWait(interrupt, msg);

    // Handle the interrupt here, accessing the hardware as
    // needed. When done, we just loop back around to re-enable
    // the interrupt source and wait for the next request.
}
}
```

With careful choice of the message code used for a given interrupt source, a single task could handle multiple interrupt sources as well as processing messages received from other tasks.

Interrupt objects appear by convention in the global file space under "/interrupt/". Interrupt source names are logical names assigned by the system integrator in the Board Support package. For example, the board support (BS) API may be used to create an interrupt named "/interrupt/phy" that is mapped to a specific GPIO line with specific level sensitivity characteristics.

**The Quantum™ Object Database**

Quantum™ provides a global system object database, with facilities to support safe dynamic run-time typing and the construction of new object types at run time using the basic principles of single inheritance and aggregation. The database is currently used as the back-end to all Quantum™ system objects, such as tasks or semaphores. As such, it also supports facilities for tracking the users of objects, greatly simplifying the

problems associated with dynamic object creation and deletion in an embedded real-time

system.

A Quantum™ object is an arbitrary block of data characterized by the following:

1. A 'C' based API that uses an object specific 'handle' as an argument;
5    2. A generic attribute based access system for non-performance critical
     configuration and status information;
3. A potential need to be shared between many tasks; and
4. A potential need to be derived from other objects.

Referring now to FIG. 8, there is shown a block diagram illustrating the

10   relationships between the various structures used to construct an object using inheritance.

As shown, an object is made up of several general structures, including descriptions of

specific object instances 800, inheritance properties 802, and a handle 804. The

inheritance properties include any derived objects 806 and their attributes 808. The

*object handle* 804 is typically a simple integer or pointer value that normally references a

15   data structure that is used to implement the specific object. This object handle 804 is

returned to an application in response to a request to create or open an instance of the

object type, and is also passed to the code that implements the specific object type in

response to requests to query or set attribute values.

As shown in FIG. 8, derived objects 806 use the same handle 804 as their parent

20   classes 800. This means that all APIs for the parent class 800 may also be used with a

subclassed object 806. There is no mechanism for a subclass to override methods

provided by the parent class (object "methods" are in this case simply C function calls).

To support this, object implementation must be able to cast (in the C type sense) between

the base and derived object handles. This means that a derived type's data should always

be appended to the data for the parent type. Referring now to FIG. 9, there is shown a block diagram illustrating one example of a possible object implementation under Quantum™. More particularly, a base object structure 900 is provided with any derived object structures 902 appended thereto.

5          Unfortunately, imposing such a scheme on all objects can introduce unnecessary overhead to the function call APIs, typically due to a need to construct container objects where simple single inheritance is not practical. As a result, Quantum™ also supports an aggregation form of inheritance. FIG. 10 illustrates one example of an aggregate manner of object implementation. Unlike the single inheritance model of FIG. 8, specific object

10   implementations are each provided with discrete handles 1000 and 1002.

To an application, both implementations function the same since the code must issue type-specific API calls to obtain the object handles. That is, a single object of type *task* that inherits from type *interface* always appears as a single object when accessed via the attribute access mechanisms, but always appears as *two* objects of different type when

15   accessing the handle for use with performance critical object-specific API calls. Such a scheme differs from most traditional inheritance mechanisms, where a single handle would respond to API calls (methods) of two or more types. By not supporting this, Quantum™'s object model can retain maximum performance (no virtual function-call overheads) while still benefiting from the structural and code-reuse benefits that

20   occasionally arise from an object oriented design.

The type system used by Quantum™ is entirely generated at run-time. Accordingly, any code that implements a particular type of object must register the type

with Quantum™ at run time. This registration process allows Quantum™ to ensure that all types are unique, inheritance information for the type, and specific attribute keys. In one embodiment, objects are typed using the AS TYPE() macros exported from *as types*.h. These use a four-character code to generate a 32 bit numeric type identifier.

5      Conventions are used to avoid conflicts in type codes arising, and in any event, any conflict will be trapped at run time when the type is first registered - giving the implementors of an object a chance to correct the error.

The following examples illustrate object creating in Quantum™:

Creating a new semaphore:

```
10          #include "quantum/as.h"
            tASSemaphore sem;
            tASErr err;
            err = as_SemaphoreNew(&sem, "lock", "count=10");
```

15     where "err" refers to the error status code; "&sem" refers to the returned handle; "lock" refers to the object name; and "count=10" refers to the object's properties.

Opening a message free-pool's message interface:

```
            #include "quantum/as.h"
            tASInterface pool;
20          tASErr err;
            err = as_InterfaceOpen(&pool, "/pool/generic");
```

where "err" refers to the error status code; "&pool" refers to the returned object handle; and "/pool/generic" refers to the object's name.

25     **Object Attributes**

Quantum™ objects use a attribute mechanism to provide access to non-performance critical properties or status information. In this mechanism, derived types

- 22 -

extend the attributes of the parent type. The extended attribute set can override attributes

of the parent so that attribute "set" and "get" operations only access those of the derived

object and not the parent. Referring now to FIG. 11, there is shown a block diagram

illustrating one embodiment of a object/attribute structure of the present invention. In the

5    embodiment of FIG. 11, attribute access for each of a parent object 1100 and a derived

object 1102 are shown. The parent object 1100 includes alpha and beta attributes 1104

and 1106, respectively, while the derived object 1102 includes beta and delta attributes

1108 and 1110, respectively. In view of the present mechanism, an initial attribute access

for alpha access the alpha attribute 1104 of the parent object 1100. However, in a

10   subsequent beta access, only the beta attribute 1108 of the derived object 1102 is

accessed. No access is made of the parent's beta attribute 1106 unless such an access is

invoked by the derived object's code.

Attribute keys and accessor methods are defined once and used for all objects of a

specific type. In contrast, there may be many specific instances of an object, each with

15   its own data that may be accessed through the common attribute access information. The

following code sequence illustrates the creation of a base object class with two derived

types:

```
      // Structure definitions for a base class containing a single
      // integer variable and a derived class containing a single
20    // string variable.

      typedef struct
      {
            int base_value;
25    } tBaseType;

      typedef struct
      {
```

```
            struct sBaseClass base;
            char derived_value[32];
        } tDerivedType;
```

5    The following attribute access is provided by the base class:

```
        tASErr base_setValue(tBaseType* pObject, int* pValue)
        {
            pObject->base_value = *pValue;
            return kASEOK;
10      }

        tXSObjectKey baseKeys[] =
        {
            XS_OBJECT_KEY_INTEGER("base-integer", 0, base_setValue),
15          XS_OBJECT_KEY_END
        };
```

The base class will register its object type using a call of the following form:

```
        #define kBaseType AS_TYPE('b', 'a', 's', 'e');
20
        err = xs_ObjectTypeRegisterBase(
            kBaseType,          // Type being registered
            "tBaseType",        // The C typedef name (or equivalent)
            100,                // Version number
25          baseKeys);          // List of keys
```

The derived type will use the following attribute definition:

```
        tXSObjectKey derivedKeys[] =
        {
30          XS_OBJECT_KEY_INTEGER("derived-integer", 0, derived_setValue),
            XS_OBJECT_KEY_END
        };

        tASErr derived_setValue(struct sDerivedClass* pObject, const char* pszValue)
35      {
            return as_StrCopy(pObject->derived_value, pszValue, sizeof pObject->derived_value);
        }
```

and the following to register its object type as type derived from kBaseType:

```
40      #define kDerivedType AS_TYPE('d', 'e', 'r', 'v');

        err = xs_ObjectTypeRegisterDerived(
            kDerivedType,       // Type being registered
            "tDerivedType",     // The C typedef name (or equivalent)
45          100,                // Version number
            derivedKeys,        // List of keys
            kBaseType);         // Type that this one derives from
```

Quantum™ then automatically links the attribute systems together and sorts out all the

business of dealing with the object. When creating an object:

```
        tXSObject object;              // System software object handle
5       struct sDerivedType data;      // Type specific data

        err = xs_ObjectNew(
            &object,                   // Returns system object handle
            pszName,                   // Name for object
10          pszDefaults,               // Default properties to be applied
            &data,                     // Object specific handle
            kDerivedType,              // Object type code
            hs_TaskCurrent());         // Task requesting the operation
```

15    An alternative form of the *xs ObjectNew()* function is used when creating objects using

aggregation, differing only in that an array of object handles must be supplied.

**The Object File System**

In accordance with one embodiment of the present invention, objects are

organized by a global file system. This appears to clients of the object database much

20    like a traditional UNIX file system. There are two main exceptions to this:

1. "files" simply contain references to objects in memory, not data itself; and

2. Any "file" may be both an object in its own right and a container for other

objects.

The top-level structure of the file system is normally organized in the following

25    manner, however, it should be understood that the following listing is not exhaustive and

that additional top level structures may also be supported:

```
        /task        System tasks
        /pool        System global message pools
        /mutex       System global mutexes
30      /semaphore   System global semaphores
        /interface   System global interfaces
        /interrupt   System global interrupt controllers
```

```
/memory     System shared memory objects
```

Referring now to FIG. 12, there is shown a flow diagram illustrating one example

of how such a structure may be implemented in software using the $txsObject$ structure

5   type (this is actually a simplification of the actual implementation, which includes

additional links and data to accelerate tree traversal and searching, however such

extensions are largely trivial and understood by those skilled in the relevant arts.) The

object database understands the identity of any task that makes a request to open, close,

create or delete and object. This allows the use of either absolute or task-relative

10   filenames.

Task relative filenames omit the leading '/' character, and are used to access

objects contained within the accessing task. The top-level tasks in the above example

could have been constructed using the following API calls:

```
        as_TaskNew(&uart,  "/task/uart");
15      as_TaskNew(&bun,   "/task/bun");
```

while the objects created by BUN could have been created by the following calls *issued*

*from within the BUN task*:

```
        as_MutexNew(&mutex,  "lock");
20      as_TaskNew(&task1,   "thread1");
        as_TaskNew(&task2,   "thread2");
```

Such a scheme implements a second, weaker form of aggregation for Quantum™ objects.

In the example of FIG. 12, the bun task acts as a container for a mutex and two other

25   tasks (thread1 and thread2). These objects are coupled to "/task/bun" such that any

attempts to delete or remove "/task/bun" will also cleanup and remove the contained

objects. However, the individual objects remain as independent entities. The bun task

does not, for example, inherit any of the attributes of objects that it contains. Note that under Quantum™, any object may be used as a container and all such associations are dynamic (i.e., established or removed at run-time, not statically at compile-time).

**ARM-Specific Native Implementation Information**

5    The native ARM implementation of Quantum™ employs some specific features to improve performance on such a processor environment. This following section details the more obscure issues relating to this implementation.

**Task and Interrupt Execution Environments**

In accordance with an ARM-specific embodiment of the present operating

10   system, all application tasks are executed in a non-privileged state; the ARM "user" execution mode. Execution of key OS routines are performed using ARM software interrupts, known as SWI traps. The ARM SWI instruction forces the CPU in to a privileged execution state, with IRQ and FIQ interrupts disabled. This ensures that operations are atomic and allows access to the privileged instructions necessary to

15   perform task switching.

Hardware interrupts are executed in IRQ or FIQ state. IRQ interrupts are always trapped by the system and handled by a stub interrupt handler that replies to messages posted to an interface. By preventing driver writers from direct access to the IRQ interrupt state, Quantum™ can enforce QoS for all code running on the system, avoiding

20   the problem of "runaway IRQ code", where eventually all code ends up running in interrupt to improve performance of each handler and resulting in essentially unbounded interrupt latency.

Both IRQ and FIQ low level handlers can use the interface functions for 'put' and 'get' - but not 'wait'. This allows the interrupt stubs to communicate with real driver code. Normally, stub IRQ handlers are provided for all the interrupt sources supported by a given ASIC as part of Quantum™'s host support package. Engineers developing

5  device drivers are not permitted to write their own IRQ handlers at present.

**SWI Traps and System Services**

In accordance with the ARM-specific embodiment of the present invention, SWI instructions are used to gain access to a privileged CPU level for certain system functions, such as messaging or entering the system debugger. The conventional form of

10  the SWI instruction in ARM assembler is:

```
swi <vector_number>,
```

where *vector_number* is a 24 bit number that is conventionally used to encode the function request. This number must be decoded from the SWI instruction opcode, requiring significant software overhead. Quantum™ ignores the vector number, and

15  instead uses a proprietary calling convention. It should be noted that this calling convention was specifically designed to improve the performance of message passing (see Interfaces and Messaging via SWI Traps discussed in detail below), though it is also used for other more general system operations.

Before entry to a SWI trap instruction, the following must be valid:

20  • r0 = the address of an *sHFSWIDispatch* structure;

• r1 = a function specific parameter;

• r2-r14 undefined; and

- The PSR can take any value (though the calling code **must not** be executing in SVC mode; this is a general ARM restriction on SWI traps, rather than a Quantum™ specific restriction)

and on return from the SWI trap the CPU state will conform to:

5
- r0 = return parameter from the SWI;

- r1-r5 are undefined;

- r6-r14 are unchanged; and

- The PSR is unchanged

The basic definition of the dispatch structure is:

10
```
typedef struct sHFSWIDispatch
{
        U32 p1;            // 0: SWI Argument r2
        U32 p2;            // 4: SWI Argument r3
        U32 p3;            // 8: SWI Argument r4
        U32 p4;            // 12: SWI Argument r5
        void* handler;     // 16: SWI Trap Handler (program) address
} tHFSWIDispatch;
```

Accordingly, the dispatch code for the SWI trap is trivial:

20
```
_hf_ArmAbortSWI:
        ; r0 = dispatch structure address
        ; r1 = function specific parameter

        ldmia r0, {r2-r5, pc} // Load parameters and jump to handler
```

25
Since only a single instruction is needed for the dispatch, this can be written directly in to the ARM SWI Vector, avoiding the need for one additional pipeline break due to the branch instruction that would normally reside there. It should be noted that, if this is done, there is no scope to perform any kind of error checking before dispatching the

30 ldmia instruction. Error checking may desirable to increase system stability in the face

of application coding errors. This may be done either by adding the checking to the code sequence that dispatches the SWI or by using additional instructions in the SWI handler itself.

The simplest way to ensure robustness is to place all tHFSWIInterface structures

5   in memory that is protected from write access by user-mode code, preventing the application from corrupting fields. If all such structures are allocated from a single contiguous area, validity checking can be a single range and alignment test on the supplied *tHFSWIDispatch* address. Individual trap handlers are called with the following parameters:

10   • r0 = address of the dispatch structure

• r1 = function specific parameter from application

• r2-r5 = p1-p4 from the dispatch structure

• r6-r12 are undefined and must be preserved

• r13 is a scratch register (**not** a stack pointer)

15   • r14 is the return address

• The PSR is set to SVC mode, non-Thumb mode, with both IRQ and FIQ disabled

The trap handlers must preserve the registers noted above. A handler may not issue any system calls and must be written to be executed in a very short time since all interrupts are locked out. The trap handler must not change the interrupt enabled for

20   SVC state. A trap handler should return with a movs pc, lr // Return from SWI instruction, to restore the caller's execution environment.

**Interfaces and Messaging via SWI Traps**

The message and interface handling subsystem of Quantum™ was specifically designed to offer the best possible performance while executing application code in a non-privileged state. Interfaces are widely used by a variety of different Quantum™ objects, such as tasks, free buffer pools and interrupt sources. The design of the object system used by Quantum™ specifically places the burden to implement polymorphism on the actual object implementors, typically using a simple function indirection. This is extremely well suited to the model of SWI dispatch processing supported by Quantum™, where it is possible to push the polymorphism of the interface to the very lowest level of implementation and so achieve the best possible performance. All interfaces provide the following three basic operations:

1. "Put" a message on an interface;

2. "Wait" for a message to arrive at an interface (blocking call); and

3. "Get" a message from an interface (non-blocking call).

Different implementations of these functions are needed depending on the specialization of the interface.

**The Interface Control Structure and SWI Traps**

The following base structure is used to define an interface:

```
typedef struct sHFInterface
{
        U32 reserved;       // 0: Currently unused
        void* tail;         // 4: Message or task queue list tail
        S32 control;        // 8: Queue control word (see text)
        void* head;         // 12: Message or task queue list head
        void* pfPut;        // 16: SWI handler for put functions
        void* pfWait;       // 20: SWI handler for wait functions
```

```
        void* pfGet;        // 24: SWI handler for get functions

        // Other non-SWI specific fields can be placed here
    } tHFInterface;
```

5

This is used to implement a FIFO queue of either messages or tasks waiting for messages.

The control word is zero if no messages are present and no tasks are waiting, positive and

non-zero if messages are present, and negative and non-zero if one or more tasks are

waiting for a message to arrive.  Message queues are always implemented as FIFOs: put

10    operations add to the end of a queue, while get or wait operation remove from the head.

This structure can be mapped to a SWI dispatch structure, selecting between the three

required functions simply by adjusting the base pointer to use an offset of zero for 'put',

one word for 'wait' and two words for 'get'.  Referring to FIG. 13, there is shown one

exemplary manner of mapping an interface structure to an SWI dispatch structure in

15    accordance with the present invention.  As shown, for a 'put' function, the offset is 0

words, for the 'wait' function, the offset is 1 word and for the 'get' function, the offset is

2 words.

With these conventions, the application code sequences used to perform the three

basic functions are simple:

20         _hs_InterfacePut:

```
        ; r0 = interface address
        ; r1 = message address
```

25
```
        stmfd sp!, {r4-r5, lr} // Save work registers
        swi 0 // Run the put function in the interface
        ldmfd sp!, {r4-r5, pc} // Return
```

```
    _hs_InterfaceWait:
```
30
```
        ; r0 = interface address
```

```
        stmfd sp!, {r4-r5, lr} // Save work registers
        add r0, r0, #4 // Run the wait function (a word is 4 bytes)
        swi 0 //
        ldmfd sp!, {r4-r5, pc} // Return, with r0 set from the SWI handler
```

5      _hs_InterfaceGet:

```
        ; r0 = interface address
```

10
```
        stmfd sp!, {r4-r5, lr} // Save work registers
        add r0, r0, #8 // Run the get function
        swi 0 //
        ldmfd sp!, {r4-r5, pc} // Return, with r0 set from the SWI handler
```

15    Note that the SWI trap handlers will corrupt r4 and r5, violating the ARM APCS-32

      function calling conventions.

              In the above examples, these registers are preserved on the caller's stack.

      However, a more efficient implementation still is to use inline assembler instructions to

      inline the actual SWI dispatch within the application C code. With this approach, only

20    one or two ARM assembler instructions are needed to dispatch the interface function,

      using the C compiler extensions to mark the corrupted registers as 'invalid' following the

      assembler sequence. The approach works well with the register usage optimizations

      performed with modern compilers such as GNU's GCC. Also note that the 'get' function

      is not directly passed the tail pointer for the queue. This is not necessary since the 'get'

25    operation always removes from the list head.

**Task and Message Queues**

              An interface may hold either a queue of tasks waiting for messages to arrive, or a

      queue of messages waiting for a task to get a message. The *control* word denotes

      whether the interface contains a list of tasks or a list of messages:

30        • zero => no tasks or messages queued

- positive => one or more messages are waiting
- negative => one or more tasks are waiting

Referring now to FIG. 14, there are shown exemplary linked list structures for interface queue formation. As described above, for an instance 1400 where the control word is zero, no messages or tasks are in the queue. For an instance 1402 where the control word is positive, the queue includes tasks 1404 waiting for execution. Lastly, for an instance 1406 where the control word is negative, the queue includes messages 1408 waiting for a task. Using this basic structure, it is simple to provide an efficient implementation of the interface functions.

Interface 'put' operations always increment the control word. If the word was negative, the head pointer references a task control block in to which the message handle can be directly written (normally to the saved state for r0), before removing the task from the queue and making it runnable. If the control word was positive, the message is simply added to the end of the queue. Interface 'get' operations are a trivial queue manipulation. 'Get' operations only return messages, so if the control word is zero or negative, the operation must return the invalid message handle. A 'get' operation needs access only to the control word and list head. Interface 'wait' operations attempt to perform a trivial get, but if no messages are present, the control word becomes negative and the task is appended to the queue of waiting tasks and suspended.

**The Quantum™ Warp Engine**

The Quantum™ Warp engine is an extension of the present operating system for providing low-level system support for constructing function call chains to permit data

path processing performance optimizations for network data handling. The need for the a

new network data path API is driven by the need for increased performance and

flexibility from network device drivers and protocol elements. The network data path can

be modeled as a sequence of self-contained processing operations of two forms:

5    classification and modification. FIG. 15 illustrates one possible network data flow 1500

in such a system.

The example of FIG. 15 shows the basic processing of data either being

forwarded (bridged or routed) or terminated locally (such as for a Web based

management tool). It should be noted that this is a simple case, since only a single

10    network input or output port is shown, and there are only two priority levels: real systems

will have potentially many more.

A network data-path priority represents both the importance and the urgency of

data handling. This may be reflected in assignment of buffer memory resources and in

the assignment of processing time. Incoming data will typically always enter the

15    processing chain at the highest possible priority as shown in item 1502. Classification is

then used in item 1504 to downgrade data handling for specific packets - and in extreme

cases discard low priority data in the event of memory or CPU starvation. Upon

classification, the data is either discarded in item 1506, modified in item 1508 at the

current priority level, or modified in item 1510 at a lowered priority level. For lower

20    priority data, it is again classified in item 1512 and either passed to a local application in

1514 or transmitted on the network at item 1516. High priority data is simply transmitted

at item 1516. On hardware platforms with several receive ports, each port may be

assigned a different initial processing priority (in this manner, the port at which data

arrives is itself a form of classification, though it is outside of the system described here).

In such a system, the classification and modification elements may be

implemented in many diverse forms. In some cases, dedicated hardware may perform

5    some of the tasks. On others, software running on a dedicated network processor may

contribute. Some or all of the software may be written in a portable language such as C

or C++, and run on a single monolithic CPU along with all of the management and

control software that typically abounds in such systems. There is only one certainty:

there will be a tension between the demands of performance, portability, partitioning

10    between hardware and software, ease of development, and the robustness of the final

product.

**Warp Modules**

A Quantum™ Warp Module implements part of the data path for a full system.

An individual module is characterized by the following:

15    • Simple self contained processing operation
     • Zero or one network data inputs
     • Zero, one or more network data outputs; and
     • A generalized management and status interface

The same basic module object is used to implement both classification and modification

20    operations on the data path. This allows hybrid operations for optimum performance or

to allow for constraints on the use of dedicated hardware blocks.

Typically, the processing performed by a single module is very simple - such as

performing a packet classification based on a field in an IP header or performing a simple

LLC/SNAP encapsulation. A high performance data path is coupled with a slower and more general configuration and status interface. The diagram of FIG. 16 illustrates one example of a system 1600 having three linked modules, 1602, 1604 and 1606. A data transport interface 1608 is provided for performing high performance data flow.

5 Additionally, a configuration and status interface 1610 is provided for supporting generic management. Real systems will also have modules with zero inputs (reading data directly from a hardware interface, generating data locally, or connecting to an application such as a *telnet* program), and modules with zero outputs (throwing data away or passing data to a hardware device).

10 **Warp Module Data Path Connections**

The data path connection between Warp Modules is constrained by the following:

- •Extremely critical to performance;
- •A desire to enforce module encapsulation;
- •The need to transparently handle QoS branching; and
15 •The need to support multiple-output streams from a module.

Best performance is generally achieved by directly connecting modules by a simple function call interface. This is the approach used by the original BUN device driver framework and ATIC based software. Encapsulation of a module typically means that a given module must not be aware of the modules that may precede or follow it. A

20 given module must also have the ability to use private per-data flow context information, per flow configuration and status information.

QoS (quality of service) branching means that a direct function call binding between two modules may be broken to defer handling of a given data item either to a

later time or to a different (lower priority) execution context or thread. Support for

multiple output streams is required to support classification. A generic mechanism is

needed so that a module can pass data to an appropriate output connection. Quantum™

Warp approaches these problems by carefully defining the programmatic interfaces to a

5   Warp Module. The general form of the data path handling functions of a module is:

```
// MyModuleDataIn()
//
// A given module must implement this function. The function is called
// from the Warp framework to supply data to the module.
// Two arguments are passed:
//
//        warpcon - Quantum Warp's data flow control data
//        message - A Quantum message providing the data
//        mycon - My provide data for this data flow
//
// The module must process the data as shown in the following classification
// example
//

void MyModuleDataIn(tASWarpContext warpcon, tASMessage message, void* mycon)
{
    // Look at the packet to determine an output channel number.
    // The 'MyClassify()' function uses the message and local context
    // to determine an output port number.
    int output = MyClassify(message, mycon);

    // Pass the message to the output.
    as_WarpDataOut(warpcon, message, output);
}
```

Quantum™ manages the sets of context data for each module. The routine *as*

*WarpDataOut()* handles the multiplexing and automatically ensures that the next module

is able to access its own private per-flow context data. Quantum™ always provides an

output of index zero to denote termination of the processing chain with a discard of the

35   data message. Modules should not simply free a message that is to be discarded, but

should set the message status code to indicate the reason for the discard and pass the

message to output zero. As an alternative to *as WarpDataOut()*, a module may use the

call *as WarpDataSuspend()* to temporarily suspend processing of a given data messages.

This will typically be used if a message has been passed to a hardware unit (such as an

NP or DMA engine) and the call chain can not proceed until the external unit has

5    completed. Note that *as WarpDataSuspend()* does not save the current call chain state.

The software managing the external hardware unit is responsible for resuming the

call chain processing. This is reasonable, since the typical action on completion of such

processing will typically just release the message. To implement such a scheme,

Quantum™ must build a tree structure describing the possible pathways that a message

10    may take. The *tASWarpContext* type is used to manage the call chain and output

multiplexing. *The Warp context passed to successive modules will be different.* Consider

the following configuration of modules. FIG. 17 simplistically illustrates one possible

module configuration, while FIG. 18 illustrates the warp tree of structures and references

corresponding to such a configuration.

15    The term *mux* is used to refer to the links between modules. Although muxes are

typically used programmatically as output connections by driver software, they are in fact

bindings combining input and output information from two modules. There will be one

such structure for each possible data flow on the system, where a data flow is any

logically distinct set or ordered data messages (e.g., data received on a single VCI,

20    Ethernet frames targeted at a specific MAC address, etc).

The *tASWarpContext* objects of FIG. 18 are used to contain the context

information for each module, its currently data-in handler, and the permissible outputs

bound to that module. Such a system can be implemented as a linked list, however,

significant performance improvements can be found by constructing call chains using

blocks of contiguous memory, tightly coupled to the C procedure call standard for the

host CPU. The following structure may be used to implement context state for an ARM

5   platform:

```
    struct sASWarpContext
    {
        U32 mux_count;                      // Number of muxes
        struct sASWarpExit* exit;           // Reference to the exit structure (see later)
10      U32 reserved1;                      // Pad header to align mux objects to
        U32 reserved2;                      // a cache line boundary
        struct
        {
            struct sASWarpContext* pWarpContext;  // Warp context object
15          void* pModuleContext;                 // Module private context
            void (*pfDataInHandler)();            // Module data-in handler
            U32 reserved;                         // Unused - pad size to 2^n words

        } mux[]; // Variable length array of muxes
20  };

    typedef sASWarpContext* tASWarpContext;
```

The corresponding implementation for the function *as WarpDataOut()* is:

```
25  _as_WarpDataOut:

        //    On entry:

        //    r0 = current tASWarpContext address
30      //    r1 = message handle
        //    r2 = output index

        ldr     r11, [r0], #16      // Read count of muxes and point at first
        add     r3, r0, r2, lsl #2  // Compute address of output structure
35      cmp     r2, r3              // Range check output index

        // If the mux index is valid, execute the next handler.
        // On entry, the handler is passed:
        //    r0 = new tASWarpContext address
40      //    r1 = message handle (as passed in to this code)
        //    r2 = the private context for the next module
        // This matches the APCS-32 calling standard for C using
        // tail recursion for the function chaining.
```

```
        ldmlsia r3, {r0, r2, pc}        // Jump to handler

        // If here, the supplied mux index is out of range. This
5       // indicates a software problem - it's better to trap this
        // than simply crash. The trap handler is entered with:
        //     r0 = tASWarpContext associated with the faulty module
        //     r1 = message handle
        //     r2 = faulty mux index
10
        sub     r0, r0, #16             // Adjust pointer back to tASWarpContext
        b       _as_WarpDataOutTrap     // Jump to trap handler
```

A problem with such a code sequence is that it can not easily be inlined without

15   changing the underlying C compiler support. Normally, a compiler will generate

function pre-and-post-amble code sequences that save work registers on a stack. It can

be difficult to persuade a compiler to perform tail-recursion so that the stack frame is

deleted before the code for *as WarpDataOut()* is invoked. If the compiler won't perform

tail recursion, the following call sequence results for a data message running though

20   modules A, D and E. One example of a call sequence through modules A, D, and E is

diagrammatically illustrated in FIG. 19.

This can be simplified by simply resetting the stack frame information at the point

of chaining. The stack is simply a work space, with one work space per execution thread,

and the stack pointer can simply be reset back to the start on each module entry. The

25   following extension to the above ARM examples illustrate such a scheme where the stack

pointer is saved in each output structure. This replicates the stack reference in many laces

and makes the output structure specific to the actual task that executes the code.

However, in practice this isn't a problem, since the software that constructs the chain of

*tASWarpContext* objects has to understand this information anyway.

```
struct sASWarpContext
{
    U32 mux_count;                // Number of muxes
    struct sASWarpExit* exit;     // Reference to the exit structure (see later)
    U32 reserved1;                // Pad header to align mux objects to
    U32 reserved2;                // a cache line boundary
    struct
    {
        struct sASWarpContext* pWarpContext;    // Warp context object
        void* pModuleContext;                   // Module private context
        int* stack;                             // Stack to use in the handler
        void (*pfDataInHandler)();              // Module data-in handler

    } mux[];                      // Variable length array of mux definitions
};

typedef sASWarpContext* tASWarpContext;
```

The corresponding implementation for the function *as WarpDataOut()* is:

```
_as_WarpDataOut:

    // See the previous example for calling parameters. The
    // only change here is that the stack pointer is loaded
    // prior to invoking the next module.

    ldr     r11, [r0], #16       // Read count of muxes and point at first
    add     r3, r0, r2, lsl #2   // Compute address of output structure
    cmp     r2, r11              // Range check output index
    ldmlsia r3, {r0, r2, sp, pc} // Invoke handler, resetting the stack pointer
    sub     r0, r0, #16          // Adjust pointer back to tASWarpContext
    b       _as_WarpDataOutTrap  // Handle out of range output index
```

The resulting call sequence is diagrammatically illustrated in FIG. 20.

The modified scheme adds one cycle of overhead to the output dispatch code, but

removes the unwinding of the call stack and can also improve efficiency by re-using the

same area of stack for each module to given improved use of the CPU's data cache. In

effect, the invocation of *as WarpDataOut()* becomes a specialized form of the C language

*return* statement, forcing tail recursion, automatically handling module chaining with

support for private module data, and all without need to modify the C or C++ compiler

tools. This discussion does not describe the actual invocation of the module call chain.

This will typically either be from a standard C or C++ code wrapper, in which case the

calling code will need to save the original stack reference prior to entering the call chain

(which must itself use a different stack to avoid overwriting data owned by the caller).

One alternative is to dispatch natively from a specialized form of Quantum™ task that is

5    dedicated to executing code in warp modules. Such a system is discussed in detail below,

in the section entitled Implementing QoS: Multithreading.

An ARM code sequence that could be used to invoke a call chain can be

implemented by adding a wrapper module to the end of the call chain. The sole purpose

of the last module is to directly implement the function return:

```
10      // r0 = initial tASWarpContext
        // r1 = message handle
        // r2 = initial module context

        ldr     r3, [r0, #4]        // Get pr to the sASWarpExit structure
15      stmia   r3, [sp, pc]        // Save return state information
        add     r0, r0, #16         // Invoke initial handler using mux #0
        ldmia   r0, {r0, r2, sp, pc}    //

        // Code resumes from here when mux #0 is invoked on the
20      // final tASWarpContext object.
```

This assumes that the definition of tASWarpExit is simply:

```
        struct sWarpExit
        {
25          int* stack; // Stack to restore
            int* lr; // Return program counter address
        };
        typedef struct sWarpExit* tWarpExit;
```

30    A reference to a single instance of the object is placed in each *tASWarpContext* so that

any module can execute *as WarpDataSuspend*(), the implementation of which is trivial:

```
        _as_WarpDataSuspend:

        // On entry:
35      //      r0 = tASWarpContext reference
```

```
ldr r1, [r0, #4]          // Get reference to tASWarpExit
ldmia r1, {sp, pc}        // Break call sequence
```

5    Again, this is easily inlined using most modern C compilers to give the best possible

efficiency.

**Implementing QoS: Multithreading**

To implement quality of service for a given call sequence, it is useful to introduce

a new Quantum™ task type. Traditional Quantum™ tasks are driven by the delivery of

10   messages to interfaces. A message could be used to wrap up a call chain argument, so

that call chains could be invoked or distributed between many distinct threads of

execution.

A more efficient mechanism is, however, to make the fundamental scheduling

control for the task the data necessary to invoke a call chain or fragment of such a chain.

15   To begin a data path call chain, only three things need to be known:

- The target thread;
- The message handle; and
- The address of a mux object (within a *tASWarpContext* object).

A simple fixed size circular buffer may be used by the handler for an

20   implementation of data-in handler that is dispatched from a mux and which wishes to

defer execution to another thread. This in turn is tightly coupled to a specialized

Quantum™ task, referred to as a *Warp Task*. A warp task control block containing such

a FIFO might have the following form:

```
     struct sHSWarpFifoEntry
25   {
         void* message;           // The message being passed
         void* mux;               // The mux used to process the message
```

```
    };

    struct sHSWarpFifo
    {
        struct sHSWarpFifoEntry* start;      // Start address of FIFO
        struct sHSWarpFifoEntry* end;        // End address of FIFO
        struct sHSWarpFifoEntry* read;       // Read pointer
        struct sHSWarpFifoEntry* write;      // Write pointer

        struct sHSWarpFifoEntry entries[n];  // Fixed size array of entries
    };

    struct sHSWarpTask
    {
        struct sHSWarpFifo fifo; // Request FIFO

        ... other task state and context ...
    };
```

A warp task receives CPU time only while it is processing data from its input FIFO. It's

main loop will look something like:

```
_as_WarpTaskMainLoop:

    // Passed:
    //
    // r0 = address of FIFO
    //

    mov       r8, r0              // Save address of FIFO
    ldmia     r8, {r4-r7}         // r4 = start, r5 = end, r6 = read, r7 = write

loop1:

    bl        _hs_WarpTaskWait    // Wait for data to arrive at the FIFO

loop2:

    ldmia     r6!, {r1, r2}       // Get first entry from read pointer
    cmp       r6, r5              // Handle FIFO wrap
    movge     r6, r4              //
    ldmia     r2, {r0, r2, sp, pc} // Invoke call chain

    // Call chain returns by branching here

_as_WarpTaskMainLoop_EndOfChain:

    str       r6, [r8, #8]        // Save updated read pointer in FIFO
    ldr       r7, [r8, #12]       // Get next write pointer
    cmp       r6, r7              // Anything more to do?
```

```
        bne     loop2               // Yes - immediate dispatch
        b       loop1               // No - sleep until something arrives
```

A different design of FIFO header will help, for example. To drive this, all that is

5    needed is for the mux at the end of a module chain to simply drop the necessary

information in to the appropriate FIFO. The simplest way to do this is to encode a

specialized form of the previously described *tASWarpExit* structure using the module

specific parameter (an alternative would be to encode this information in each and every

mux object - which is slightly faster but more wasteful of memory). The following

10   structure can be used:

```
        struct sWarpExitThreaded
        {
            struct sHSWarpTask* task;      // Warp task to use
            void* mux;                     // Mux to invoke
15          int* stack;                    // Stack to restore
            int* lr;                       // Return program counter address
        };
        typedef struct sWarpExitThreaded* tWarpExitThreaded;
```

20   The corresponding code for, invoked as if it was a call to the data-out handler of a normal

processing module (see the dispatch code) is simply:

```
        _as_WarpDeferDataOut:

        // On entry:
25      //    r0 = target warp task
        //    r1 = message handle
        //    r2 = context pointer, referencing a tASWarpThreadExit object

        ldmia   r2, {r4-r5, sp, lr}  // r4 = task, r5 = mux, reset sp and get return address
30      ldmia   r4, {r6-r9}          // r6 = start, r7 = end, r8 = read, r9 = write
        stmia   r9!, {r1, r5}        // Add message and mux to warp task's FIFO
        cmp     r9, r7              // Handle wrap-around
        movge   r9, r7              //
        cmp     r8, r9              // Check for overflow
35      beq     _as_WarpDeferTrap    // Trap overflows (see text)
        str     r9, [r4, #12]        // Save updated write pointer
        bl      _as_WakeWarpTask     // Magic glue to ensure warp task is running
        mov     pc, lr              // Exit thread call sequence
```

This code is equivalent to *as WarpDataOutSuspend()* but passes the call chain to the specified task before completing. Note that the fixed size of the warp task's input FIFO may pose a problem. Overflow must be checked for and handled appropriately. Although no sample overflow code is given, it is fairly straightforward to implement an

5   overflow trap handler that simply notes the failure and releases the message. If such overflow is deemed a problem, it is reasonable to size the input FIFOs for the task such that no FIFO is smaller than the total number of network data messages that are present in the system (typically a few hundred messages at most).

Warp tasks will need to be constructed as sub-tasks of a single data-path handling

10   task. One embodiment of such task and sub-task structure is shown in FIG. 21 and implementing four priorities. The top level task 2100, */task/data*, is implemented as a standard Quantum™ task using the normal message APIs. Other tasks in the system may send data messages directly to this task by simply using the `as_Message-Send()` API. This task implements the highest priority processing for the data path, directly running

15   the function call chain in response to a message delivered from another task on the system. The embodiment of FIG. 21 also shows three sub-tasks, 2102, 2104 and 2106. These are shown embedded in the top level task since they share memory and resources. Sub-tasks are, however, executed independently of each other and any higher level tasks under Quantum™. Each may have its own distinct set of Quantum™ scheduler QoS

20   parameters. Processing is deferred from any task to a lower priority one using the mechanisms outlined in this document.

Such a scheme means that the data path driver code always runs in the context of one of these tasks. Module code must therefore be structured as a shared library. Note that the information stored in the *tASWarpContext* and related data structures is intimately tied to the structure of these tasks and the priority at which processing is

5   performed. If it is desirable to implement parallel threading, where parallel threads execute at the same priority, duplicate call chains must be constructed for each execution path (this may be desirable if, for example, a given thread processing chain needed to perform blocking calls and you do not want to stop all processing at that priority until the specific block has been released).

10  **Enhancing Module Performance: Selectors**

Many driver modules may wish to perform different processing actions based on some rarely changing configuration. For example, an encryption module may offer both DES or 3DES modes. Typically, this would be handled with the following code sequence:

15
```
    if (mode == DES)
    {
        // DES code here
    }
    else if (mode == DES3)
20  {
        // 3DES code here
    }
```

This imposes run-time overhead for a module property that changes only rarely. A better

25  solution is for a driver module to export a number of different processing functions - e.g.:

```
    void myDataIn_DES(tASWarpContext warpcon, tASMesssage message, void* mycon);
    void myDataIn_DES3(tASWarpContext warpcon, tASMesssage message, void* mycon);
```

Since the implementation of the call chains used by Quantum™ warp contains a reference to the function used to perform packet processing by the module, it is trivial to provide an API that allows a module to change the data-in function that it has registered, typically in response to a configuration change to the module itself.

5    **Module Configuration and Status Access**

The present application does not specify a particular mechanism used to configure warp modules. However, the generic attribute mechanisms supported by BUN provide a good reference point as to the design of a generic attribute API to support module configuration and status. Exposing these APIs to control Warp Modules and their data

10   streams would maximize code re-use, while also providing system level management for object access (to handle issues such as multiple-clients referencing the same object, when someone decides that it should be deleted).

While the foregoing description includes many details and specificities, it is to be understood that these have been included for purposes of explanation only, and are not to

15   be interpreted as limitations of the present invention. Many modifications to the embodiments described above can be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.